

## Interview Summary

Application No. 08/905,501 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Scroggie et al.

	Hani Kazimi	2/65	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		#3/2
(1) Hani Kazimi	(3)		
(2) Mr. Carols Villamar			
Date of Interview Feb 3, 1999	_		
Type:   Telephonic   Personal (copy is given to	□ applicant ⊠ applicant's rep	resentative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description:		
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached.			
Claim(s) discussed: 28-31		<del></del>	
Identification of prior art discussed:  The two refrences that were cited in the case O'Brien and	t Slad		
The two remembes that were cheef in the case of bhen and	d Sled.		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to it  An agreement was reached to submitt an amendment for	further examination.		<u> </u>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no c is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
1. ${\bf X}^*$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	rate record of the substance of th	ie interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF	UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN as already been filed, APPLICANT	NTERVIEW. (See	e MPEP
<ol> <li>Since the Examiner's interview summary above (i each of the objections, rejections and requirement claims are now allowable, this completed form is Office action. Applicant is not relieved from provis also checked.</li> </ol>	ts that may be present in the last considered to fulfill the response	Office action, ar requirements of	nd since the the last
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an a	ittachment to a signed Office action.		

**3**6